

Effect of collection methods on oocyte recovery rate in sheep

Majeed A.F.¹, Omar A.A.^{1*}, Alrawi Saad Thabit Jassim¹, Al-Maadhidly Assif H.¹, Ahmed K.D.² and Khudair Mohamed²

1. College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Fallujah, IRAQ

2. Euphrates Higher Basin Developing Center, Univ. of Anbar, IRAQ

*alhadithyali@gmail.com

Abstract

This study was carried out on 45 genital system of Iraqi ewes collected from slaughterhouses located at Baghdad city, during the period November 2016 to February 2017. The genitalia samples were transferred to gynecology lab within 2 hrs in normal saline by a cool box. Many techniques were used for collecting Oocytes including slicing, aspiration and puncture. The number of ova were counted.

The results revealed a statistical difference ($P < 0.05$) in different ovaries (left and right) in recovery rate of different methods of oocytes recovery. It has been found that the big follicles give a high recovery rate. The results illustrated statistical differences ($P < 0.05$), among the big and small follicles. The recovery rate of the oocyte by using aspiration and puncture methods increased statistically more than the ova recovered via slicing. The results showed that the higher recovery rate was obtained with grade B (Medist) oocyte in all collection methods as compared with other grades. It was concluded from this study that collection method of oocytes from slaughtered animals might affect the recovery rate of oocytes.

Keywords: Sheep, Oocyte recovery, aspiration, slicing and puncture.

Introduction

Ovaries obtained from slaughtered animals are available and low-cost sources for in vitro embryo production (IVEP).⁴ The use of abattoir offal (Ovaries) has been considered as an invaluable source of Oocytes for in vitro fertilization. There are several techniques used for harvesting the oocytes from ovaries taken from the abattoir. These techniques include aspiration, slicing and puncture.^{3,8,14,15,21,23} Each method has advantages and disadvantages.¹⁴ The work aimed to compare different procedures of oocytes recovered from ovaries of slaughtered sheep.

Material and Methods

The study was conducted on 45 genital system of Iraqi ewes collected from slaughter houses located at Baghdad city during the period from November 2016 to February 2017 (Outside the breeding season). The samples were transferred to the gynecology lab. within 2 hrs in normal saline by a cool box. Ova were recovered via three methods:

1) Aspiration with 18- gauge needle: The fluid of follicles was aspirated through sterile 18-gauge needle attached to a 5 ml syringe containing a harvested medium (follicular fluid).

Aspirated contents were expelled into a fresh Petri dish containing the medium and ova with cumulus cells were chosen.

2) Ovarian slicing was put in a Petri dish that includes collected media or normal saline and was cut into small parts by a blade.^{20,21} Ova that surrounded by cumulus cells was selected from collected media.

3) Puncture visible follicles on the surface of the ovaries ranging from 2-6 mm in diameter with 18- gauge syringe needle. Cumulus cells were chosen from the fluid of follicles.^{8,20,21} Oocytes collected from slaughtered ewes by aspiration or slicing or puncture were examined under the microscope and graded according to Wani et al²¹ as a good = grade A, medist = grade B and poor = grade C according to the presence of the ova cells and uniform cytoplasm.

Good: Oocytes with numerous layers of cumulative cells and uniform cytoplasm.

Medist: Oocytes with thin or incomplete layers of cumulative cells and uniform cytoplasm.

Poor: Oocytes with few or no cumulative cells.

The values were recorded as means \pm S.E. and subjected to analysis via T-test and analysis of variance.

Results and Discussion

Ovarian type's effect on the count of follicles and ova recovery through different methods of collection were illustrated in the table 1. The results showed that the left ovary is littler active than the right in the presence of follicles and oocytes recovery rate. The results revealed a statistical difference ($P < 0.05$) in different ovaries (right vs left) in the number of follicles and oocytes recovery. The similar observation has been made by several investigators^{6,9,22} while Al-Jumaily² showed no significant differences. This might be due to breed difference and/or seasonality.⁵

The influence of follicle size on recovery rate has been illustrated in table 2. The result showed that big follicles give high recovery rates. There was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in recovery rate between the large and small follicles. Similar observation has been made by several

workers.^{5,13} This might be due to large follicles containing well-developed oocytes as compared with smaller follicles.^{7,22} The size of follicular may be impacted by age, reproductive performance, season of breeding and nutritional levels.⁷

Other researchers detected that the follicular size affected the oocytes quality. The involved proteins (mRNA) play a role in ova maturation.¹¹ It has been observed in cows that follicles more than 6mm in diameter produce more statically ova with different cell layers (granulosa cells). These ova produced a large number of *in vitro* blastocyst. It is believed that large size follicles might have GF (growth factor) promoting function and shape of the cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) and embryo produced.^{1,12,16}

The effect of collection methods on the recovery rate of oocyte is shown in table 3. Recovered ova via aspiration and puncture are statistically different than ova recovered by slicing. Similar observation was shown by Sogorescu et al¹⁷ while Majeed et al¹³ investigated in the goats. The results disagreed with Wani et al²¹ and Wang et al¹⁹ in sheep and goat respectively. The aspiration and puncture methods recorded a high recovery rate due to the aspiration and puncture considered as the applicable technique for obtaining perfect oocytes production (quality and quantity), while the presence of the ovarian tissue debris in the slicing due to destruction the ova during the examination¹⁰. Furthermore, it needed extra-cleaning as comparatively used with other methods, table 4 showed the type of collection methods on the grade of the ova recovered.

Table 1

Effect of ovarian location on the number of follicles and oocytes recovery in diff. methods

Ovarian location	No.	No. of follicles	No. of oocytes recovery
Right	45	1.111±0.096 ^a	1.111±0.096 ^a
Left	45	0.844±0.089 ^b	0.844±0.089 ^b

Values: Mean ± SE. Different lower letters reveal a significant difference (P<0.05)

Table 2

Effect follicular size on the recovery rate

Follicle size	Recovery rate
Small follicle (2-4mm)	62% ^b
Large follicle (5-8mm)	85% ^a

Different lower letters reveal a significant difference (P<0.05).

Results showed that higher recovery rates were obtained with grade B (Medist) oocyte in all collection methods as compared with good grade (A) or poor grade (C). Statistical variations were present (P< 0.05) in quality of ova among aspiration and puncture as compared with slicing method. Wani et al²¹ have made similar observation in sheep and Rahman et al¹⁵ made in goats. The observation of low-

quality grade oocyte recovered could be due to slaughters of low-quality ewes.

Table 3

Effect of collection methods on recovery rate of ova

Methods	Ovaries numbers	Ova numbers
Aspiration	30	0.966±0.139 ^a
Slicing	30	0.571±0.320 ^b
Perforation(puncture)	30	0.966±0.664 ^a
Total	90	0.822 ± 0.666

Values: Mean ± SE. Different lower letters reveal a significant differences (P<0.05).

Table 4

Effect of collection methods on the grade of oocytes (oocyte quality).

Type of collection method	No. of ovaries	Good (A) %	Medist (B)%	Poor (C)%
Aspiration	30	34 ^a	59 ^a	7 ^b
Slicing	30	22 ^b	40 ^b	38 ^a
Puncture	30	30 ^a	54 ^a	16 ^b

Different lower letters reveal a statistical variation (P<0.05).

References

1. Abdullah R.B. and Dazier L., Analyse des. Prolonging the interval from ovarian hyperstimulation to laparoscopic ovum pick-up improves oocyte yield, quality and developmental competence in goats, *Theriogenology*, **70(5)**, 765–771 (2008)
2. Al-Jumaily M.M.T., *In vitro* fertilization in sheep with certain factors affecting the technique, M. Sc. Thesis, College Agriculture, Al-Anbar University (2003)
3. Alm H., Torner H., Kantz W. and Roschlau K., Influence of oocyte recovery method, in vitro fertilization method and serum source on embryonic development of in vitro matured bovine oocytes, *Archives Animal Breeding*, **51(3)**, 224–234 (2008)
4. Brüßow K.P., Torner H., Rátky J., Hunter M.G. and Nürnberg G., Ovum pick up in swine: the influence of aspiration vacuum pressure on oocyte recovery from preovulatory follicles, *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, **45**, 189–196 (1997)
5. Farag I.M., Girgis S.M., Hassan N.A., Khali W.B., Sakr A.M. and Ali N.I., Effect of protein additives on in vitro maturation of Egyptian sheep oocytes with reference to seasonal variation effects on yield and quality of oocytes, *J. Am. Sci*, **6(10)**, 588–599 (2010)
6. Freitas V.J., de F. and Melo L.M., In vitro embryo production in small uminants, *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, **39**, 409–413 (2010)
7. Hafez E.E. and Hafez B., Reproduction in farm Animals, 7th ed., Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, A Wolter Kluwer Co., Philadelphia, U.S.A. (2000)

8. Hoque S.A.M., Kabiraj S.K., Khandoker M.Y., Mondal A. and Tareq K.A., Effect of collection techniques on cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) recovery, in vitro maturation and fertilization of goat oocytes, *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **10(45)**, 9177–9181 (2011)
9. Im K.S., Kim H.J., Chung K.M., Kim H.S. and Park K.W., Effects of ovary type, oocyte grade, hormone, sperm concentration and fertilization medium on in vitro maturation, fertilization and development of bovine follicular oocytes, *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, **8(2)**, 123–127 (1995)
10. Kharche S.D., Goel P., Jha B.K., Goel A.K. and Jindal S.K., Factors influencing in-vitro embryo production efficiency of caprine oocytes: A review, *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, **81(4)**, 344 (2011)
11. Krisher R.L., The effect of oocyte quality on development, *Journal of Animal Science*, **82(suppl_13)**, E14--E23 (2004)
12. Lonergan P., Monaghan P., Rizos D., Boland M.P. and Gordon I., Effect of follicle size on bovine oocyte quality and developmental competence following maturation, fertilization and culture in vitro, *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, **37(1)**, 48–53 (1994)
13. Majeed A.F., Saied I.H. and Al-Saigh M.N., Effect of collection techniques on recovery, and in vitro maturation of Black Iraqi Goats oocytes, *Al-Anbar Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, **4(2)**, 178–181 (2011)
14. Martino A., Monaghan P., Rizos D., Boland M.P. and Gordon I., Influence of the collection technique of prepubertal goat oocytes on in vitro maturation and fertilization, *Theriogenology*, **42(5)**, 859–873 (1994)
15. Rahman A.N.M.A., Bin Abdullah R. and Wan-Khadijah W.E., Effects of oocyte source on the developmental competence of in vitro matured goat oocytes fertilized by the intracytoplasmic sperm injection technique, *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, **33(4)**, 323–331 (2009)
16. Shirazi A. and Sadeghi N.H.S., The effect of ovine oocyte diameter in relation to nuclear maturational competence, *Small Rumin. Res.*, **69**, 103–107 (2007)
17. Sogorescu E., Zamfierescu S., Anghel A.H. and Dorina N., The influence of new media on the developmental competence of goat and sheep oocytes, *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*, **15(3)**, 19–25 (2010)
18. Thibault C., Dauzier L., Gérard M. and Goussopoulos É., Analyse des conditions de la fécondation in vitro de l'oeuf de la lapine, *Annales de Biologie Animale Biochimie Biophysique*, 277–294 (1961)
19. Wang Z.G., Xu Z.R. and Yu S.D., Effects of oocyte collection techniques and maturation media on in vitro maturation and subsequent embryo development in Boer goat, *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, **52(1)**, 21 (2007)
20. Wani N.A., Wani G.M. and Khan M.Z., Effect of different factors on the recovery rate of oocytes for in vitro maturation and in vitro fertilisation procedures in sheep, *Small Ruminant Research*, **34(1)**, 71–76 (1999)
21. Wani N.A., Wani G.M., Khana M.Z. and Sidiqi M.A., Effect of oocyte harvesting techniques on in vitro maturation and in vitro fertilization in sheep, *Small Ruminant Research*, **36(1)**, 63–67 (2000)
22. Wani N.A., In vitro maturation and in vitro fertilization of sheep oocytes, *Small Ruminant Research*, **44(2)**, 89–95 (2002)
23. Zeinoaldini S., Jafari Z., Sarmast F., Torbati E. and Davachi N.D., Different harvesting techniques used in ovine in vitro embryo production, *Scimetr*, **1(1)**, 1-5 (2013).